Acute pain transfusion reaction in a regularly transfused Thalassemic patient: a case report

Delicou S,^{1*} Tzafa Georgia,^{2*} Kondi Aggeliki,^{2*} Theocharaki Konstantina,² Vassilakou Elina,² Karapatakis Sotiris,² Mpekoulis G,³ Pavlou E,⁴ Vasilaki N,³ Kostopoulos I,² Xydaki A,¹ Nomikou E,⁴ Tsitsilonis O,² Politis C,⁵ Antonelou M²

¹Thalassemia and Sickle Cell Unit, General Hospital of Athens "Hippocrateion"; ²Department of Biology, School of Science, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (NKUA); ³Laboratory of Molecular Virology, Hellenic Pasteur Institute (HPI); ⁴Blood Bank and Hemophilia Unit, General Hospital of Athens "Hippocrateion"; ⁵Coordinating Haemovigilance Centre and Surveillance of Transfusion, Hellenic National Public Health Organization. (*) Equal contribution



of adverse reactions had been ruled out. **Cytokines** and **leukoreduction** filters have been linked to some APTR case reports; however, no underlying causes and mechanisms have been addressed in the limited literature.

Patient and Study Design

- Patient: 50-year-old, female, with transfusion dependent β-thalassaemia
- Comorbidities: secondary haemochromatosis, hypoparathyroidism, hypothyroidism, osteoporosis, atrial fibrillation, depression
- **Splenectomy:** 25 years ago
- No previous transfusion reactions or alloimmunization
- Usually exhibits high haemoglobin increment following transfusion (ΔHb 1.6 g/dL per unit)
- APTR event: the subject received complete-phenotype leucoreduced red blood cell (RBC) units. A serious adverse reaction with sudden moderate pain in the **neck and the lumbar back** appeared a few minutes after the onset of the second unit's delivery. The transfusion was immediately paused, and the patient was given corticosteroids and hydration. Following the international guidelines, the incidence of haemolytic or other adverse transfusion reactions was ruled out. Soon after the discomfort decreased, the patient was stable and released.
- Study design: Comparative assessment of RBC physiology, storage

Fig. 1 Study design: clinical, serum biochemical, and RBC factors from the subject and the RBC units were assessed in the APTR event compared to the next regular transfusion. Data available from a

previous (20 months ago) regular transfusion of the patient was also taken into consideration.



lesion, and **biological response modifiers' (BRMs)** levels in patient and RBC units' samples in APTR, previous, and next regular transfusions (Fig. 1).

Results

- Subject's profile: reportedly increased PLT count (500x109/L) and D-dimers (670µg/L) but normal RDW index and no nucleated RBCs (NRBCs).
- **Day before APTR:** normal lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), bilirubin, and C-reactive protein, but slightly increased **RDW (17.2%)**.
- **Immediately post APTR:** high **D-dimers** (739 μ g/L), further increase in **RDW index** (18.5%), 15.2% **NRBC (**despite of improved Hb levels, 12.6 g/dL; Δ Hb 1.9 g/dL), increased **cell-free Hb** (37.3 vs. 10.9 mg/dL), **susceptibility of RBCs to hemolysis, oxidative stress**, phosphatidylserine exposure (**PS+ RBCs**, 1.9 vs. 0.1%) (compared to a previous transfusion event).
- APTR/RBC unit's examination: 16-day-old, low Hb concentration (15.8 g/dL), high RDW (15.5%), increased propensity to hemolysis, oxidative stress, but normal concentration of extracellular vesicles (EVs) compared to the average units, as measured by nanoparticle tracking analysis. Next regular transfusion (15 days post APTR): no more NRBCs but

sharp increase in **D-dimers** (1058 μ g/L), **EVs** (23x10¹⁰/ μ L), **haemichromes**, and **oxidative hemolysis**. **RDW** remained high (17.7%), and **PS⁺ RBCs** reduced, albeit at still pathological levels (0.6%) that deteriorated post transfusion (1.1%).









Conclusions

The currently applied paired study design may lead to the successful detection of patient and RBC unitrelated stress markers in linkage to the pathophysiology of APTR. Inclusion of more events and further analyses at cellular and molecular levels (e.g. omics, cytokines/chemokines, neurotransmitters) in patients and blood products are expected to shed light to this entity hoping to prevent it and increase the awareness of the transfusion clinicians to it.

References	
Jean-Yves et al., 18th International Haemovigilance Seminar,	Bui and Rose, MAR Clinical Case Reports 2023; 7:6
Manchester 10-12 July 2018	Hardwick et al., Oncology Nursing Forum 2013; 40:6
Robertson-Patera et al., Am J Clin Pathol 2020;154:S21-S168	Jennane et al., Transfusion Clinique et Biologique 2014; 21:330
Py et al, Transfusion Clinique et Biologique 25 (2018) 63-72	Tsunemi et al., Japanese Journal of Transfusion and Cell
Alvarado-Ramy et al., Transfusion Medicine 2006; 16:41-48	Therapy, 68(1):12-16; 2022